UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/519,424	12/22/2004	Andrea Caserta	HERRA-70160	7502
Fulwider Patto	7590 03/12/2007 n Lee & Utecht	EXAM	EXAMINER	
Howard Hughes Center 10th Floor 6060 Center Drive			PAIK, SANG YEOP	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, C		3742		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

				(1)
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/519,424	CASERTA ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Sang Y. Paik	3742	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address	••
WHI - Exte afte - If No - Fail Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by so reply received by the Office later than three months after the reled patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUN FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO statute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. I reply be timely filed INTHS from the mailing date of this communicated the communicated that is a commu	
Status				
1)[\implies]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	29 December 2006		
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice und	owance except for formal ma	·	s is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 15-25 is/are pending in the applic	eation.		
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 15-25 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exar	niner.	•	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) \square	accepted or b) □ objected to	by the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	• •	• • •	` '
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received.		İ
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received in A	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have beer	received in this National Stage	
	application from the International Bu			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachmen	` '	_		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) 🔲 Infori	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of	Informal Patent Application	
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6)	·	
o. ⊬atent and T	rademark Office			

Application/Control Number: 10/519,424

Art Unit: 3742

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 15, 16, 19, 23 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Demarest et al (US 6,361,752) in view of Vieira (US 6,563,091).

Demarest shows the device claimed including a casing with a slot, a container containing active substances with a wick, and a fan, electric plugs and a heater connected to provide heating and an airflow to the upper portion of the wick. But, Demarest does not show a single button with a second additional heating resistor to change the operating mode to a boosting operation mode to increase the evaporation and diffusion of the active substances. Also see Figures 9 and 10.

Vieira shows an evaporation device with a container containing active substances, a wick a pair of heating resistors, and a single button to turn on and off the heating resistors and also to active and deactivate the operation modes from normal to a boosting mode to increase the evaporation and diffusion rate of the active substances. Vierra further shows such increase is achieved via a second heating resistor or in combination of all the heating resistors.

In view of Vierra, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt

Demarest with a second heating resistor to provide for a rapid and substantially instant increase
in the evaporation and diffusion of the active substance to meet the user's need for such intensity

with the fan that is switched on with the activation of the heaters to provide and increase the air stream that would enhance the diffusion of the active substance.

3. Claims 17, 18 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Demarest in view of Vieira as applied to claims 15, 16, 19, 23 and 24 above, and further in view of Pankhurst et al (US 2005/0001337).

Demarest in view of Vieira shows the device claimed except the means for regulating the speed of the fan.

Pankhurst shows an evaporation device for an active substance with a fan that is further controlled by a controller which controls the speed of the fan as well as the timing of the fan for boosting of the active substance.

In view of Pankhurst, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Demarest, as modified by Vieira, with the means for regulating the speed of the fan to enhance increasing or decreasing the evaporation and diffusion rate of the active substance.

4. Claims 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over.

Demarest in view of Vieira as applied to claims 15, 16, 19, 23 and 24 above, and further in view of Pedrotti et al (US 6,931,202)

Demarest in view of Vieira shows the device claimed except the casing with a case and a base provided with slots for entry of air, and an evaporation intensity regulator.

Pedrotti shows that it is well known in the art to provide an evaporator with a case and a base provided with slots, and Pedrotti further shows an evaporation intensity regulator to further control the outflow and direction of the air when desired.

In view of Pedrotti, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Demarest, as modified by Vieira, with the casing with slots for entry of air to enhance the air circulation in and through the device with the evaporation intensity regulator to further control the rate and direction of the air flow.

Page 4

5. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Demarest in view of Vieira as applied to claims 15, 16, 19, 23 and 24 above and further in view of Yip et al (US 6,859,615) or Spector (US 4,629,604).

Demarest in view of Vieira shows the device claimed except an luminous indicator to show the activation of the second heating resistor.

Yip and Spector show an evaporation device having multiple heating elements, and they further show that it is well known in the art to provide an light or luminous indicator to each of the respective heating elements to show the operation status of each heating elements.

In view of Yip or Spector, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to adapt Demarest, as modified Vieira, with the luminous indicator to each of the heating resistors to show the active or inactive status of each heating resistor to conveniently show the operating conditions of the evaporation device.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 3742

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sang Y. Paik whose telephone number is 571-272-4783. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:00) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robin Evans can be reached on 571-272-4777. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/519,424

Art Unit: 3742

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Sang Y Paik **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 3742

syp